



Photo Courtesy of Bob Ashe for Denver Metro Convention & Visitor Bureau

Southwestern Association of Criminal Justice

**Annual Meeting
October 2-4, 2008**



Privacy and Scrutiny: Criminal Justice Enters the Age of Observation

**Denver, Colorado
Hotel VQ Downtown Denver**

President's Welcome

On behalf of the SWACJ officers, please accept our sincere welcome to Denver, Colorado and the 2008 SWACJ annual meeting. We have an excellent if somewhat small program scheduled. This just means that all panels will have a good audience and all presenters will have more time than usual.

Please, join the group at the Past President's Reception starting Thursday evening at 6:30pm in the Ballroom on the 14th floor of the Hotel VQ. The room has wonderful views. We have the groups favorite eats planned and a cash bar will be available.

We start Friday off with a Continental Breakfast in the Ballroom at 7:30am and Presentations will begin promptly at 8:30am. The Panels are in the two Boardrooms on the 11th floor. I am pleased to note that several panels focus on the broad topic of the meeting "Privacy and Scrutiny: Criminal Justice Enters the Age of Observation." Panels continue through the afternoon and begin again after breakfast on Saturday.

At the luncheon on Friday, Janice Joseph, President Elect, will bring us greetings from the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences. Then, Steven Olsen, ASAC, with the FBI will speak about the security arrangements for the 2008 Democratic National Convention here in Denver. He will focus on issues related to the Conference theme.

Be sure to attend the SWACJ Business Meeting on Friday at 4:30pm. We have several items for the membership to consider or receive as reports. A new editor for the Journal is to be approved. We have several proposals for the 2009 meeting location and will need to seriously examine the options.

We have provided a guide to attractions in the Denver area in the conference packet. Please, consider partaking of some of these if your time allows. A free shuttle is available from the hotel to downtown Denver.

The officers offer their sincere thanks to the sponsors of this year's meeting. Their contributions go a long way in guaranteeing a successful meeting.

Please, enjoy your stay, pick up some ideas and information from the panels, and share news with old or new friends.

Phil Rhoades
2007-2008 SWACJ President

**SWACJ 2008 Annual Meeting
Denver Colorado**

Meeting Sponsors

Sam Houston State University

Texas State University

Texas A&M University—Corpus Christi

Regis University

Denver Broncos

Denver Metro Convention & Visitors Bureau

University of Arkansas Little Rock

Colorado State University, Ft. Collins

Colorado Association of Criminal Justice Educators

SWACJ 2008 Annual Meeting Schedule of Events

Thursday, October 2, 2008

1:00pm-5:00pm	Registration	Hotel VQ Lobby
7:00pm-10:00pm floor	Presidents Reception	Ballroom, 14th

Friday, October 3, 2008

7:30am-8:30am	Continental Breakfast	Ballroom
8:00am-5:00pm	Registration	11th Floor
8:30am-9:45am	Panel Sessions	
	Panel 1 Networks and Privacy	1104

Chair/Discussant: Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University

Personal Computer and Home Network Security: Implications for Privacy
Mark R. McCoy, University of Central Oklahoma

Internet Social Networking Websites and Expectations of Privacy
Roger Enriquez, University of Texas at San Antonio

Panel 2 Criminal Justice Education **1112**

Chair/Discussant: Chip Burns, Texas Christian University

Observing Ourselves: Teaching Ethics to Criminal Justice Students
James David Ballard, California State University Northridge
Kathleen Bailey, Grand Valley State University

The Importance of Criminal Justice Advisory Boards
Paul R. Bowdre, Western Nebraska Community College

9:45am-10:00am	Break	11th Floor
-----------------------	--------------	-------------------

10:00am-11:30am	Panel Sessions	
	Panel 3 Politics, Policy, and Culture	1104

Chair/Discussant: Quint Thurman, Texas State University

**The Implications of Federalism on Prosecutorial Decisions-Dual Sovereignty,
Federalization of Criminal Law and the *Petite* Policy**
Thomas White, University of Texas-Pan American

The Fire of Thoreau: Symbolic Politics and 3rd Party Political Platforms
Nancy E. Marion, University of Akron
Willard M. Oliver, Sam Houston State University

Jim Crow, Racial Profiling, and Cultural Continuity
M. George Eichenberg, Tarleton State University

Panel 4 Surveillance, Privacy and Law 1112

Chair/Discussant: Mark Pogrebin, University of Colorado, Denver

CCTV and Privacy Issues
Janice Joseph, Richard Stockton College of New Jersey

Big Brother is Looking – A Microanalysis of the Patriot Act and its Invasion of Privacy on American Citizens and Others
Tracy Andrus, Wiley College

11:45am-1:15pm
Ballroom

Luncheon

Announcements
ACJS Greeting
Keynote Speaker Steven Olson, FBI

1:30pm-2:45pm

Panel Sessions

Panel 5 The Drug War and Asset Forfeiture 1104

Chair/Discussant: Richard McWorter, Prairie View A&M University

Differences and Similarities in Correlates of Drug Abuse Violations among Juveniles – Are We Losing the War on Drugs?
Fay Williams, Prairie View A&M University
Solomon Osho, Prairie View A&M University

Asset Forfeiture Gone Wild: An East Texas Case Study
George R. Franks, Jr., Stephen F. Austin State University
Karen S. Price, Stephen F. Austin State University

Panel 6 A Panel of Diverse Delights 1112

Chair/Discussant: David Montague, University of Arkansas Little Rock

Death, discipline and disparity: A cross-national comparison of corporal punishment and the death penalty
Matthew Pate, State University of New York at Albany
Laurie A. Gould, University of Texas at Arlington

The National Crime Victimization Survey: An interrupted series
Joan Crowley, New Mexico State University

True Façade: A Content Analysis of the Moment of Truth Television Program
David J. Carrothers, Texas Woman's University

2:45pm

Break

Recruiting Success
W. T. Jordan, Texas A&M University—Texarkana

Police Stress and Marriage: The Importance of Marital Status in Predicting Occupational Stress of Law Enforcement Officers
Hector Esparza, Sam Houston State University

Panel 10 Retail and Campus Security 1112

Chair/Discussant: M. George Eichenberg, Tarleton State University

Technological Advances in Retail Security
Camille Gibson, Prairie View A&M University

Security on University Campuses: From Panic to (Gun) Panacea
Philip D. Holley, Southwestern Oklahoma State University
Dan Brown, Southwestern Oklahoma State University

9:45am-10:00am Break

10:00am-11:30am Panel Sessions

Panel 11 National Security and Terrorism 1104

Chair/Discussant: Camille Gibson, Prairie View A&M University

Geospatial Patterns of Terrorist Cells
Kim Rossmo, Texas State University

Making the Connection: Russian Organized Crime as a Threat to National Security
Jennifer Carreon, Texas State University
Valerie Hollier, Texas State University

Panel 12 Case Management Practices 1112

Chair/Discussant: Philip Rhoades, Texas A&M University—Corpus Christi

Using Innovative APS caseworker training methods to address increased scrutiny
David Montague, University of Arkansas at Little Rock

Organizational Readiness for Culture Change: The Case of Travis County CSCD and their Evidence-based Practices Model
Floyd Berry, Tarleton State University
Gerald Piechocki, Tarleton State University

SWACJ 2008 Program With Abstracts

Thursday, October 2, 2008

1:00pm-5:00pm **Registration** **Hotel VQ**
Lobby

7:00pm-10:00pm **Presidents Reception** **Ballroom,**
14th floor

Friday, October 3, 2008

7:30am-8:30am **Continental Breakfast**
Ballroom

8:00am-5:00pm **Registration** **11th**
Floor

8:30am-9:45am **Panel Sessions**

Panel 1 Networks and Privacy **1104**

Chair/Discussant: Katy Eichenberg

Personal Computer and Home Network Security: Implications for Privacy
Mark R. McCoy, University of Central Oklahoma

The paper presents preliminary findings of a study conducted to determine the current state of personal computer and home network security practices in Oklahoma. Data was collected on the use of anti-virus software, firewalls, spyware protection and security of home wireless networks. The study also collected data on the sophistication of the computer user and possible reasons for lack of computer security practices. Findings relate to possible use of personal computers and home networks used to attack national infrastructure.

Internet Social Networking Websites and Expectations of Privacy
Roger Enriquez, University of Texas at San Antonio

A reasonable expectation of privacy is the cornerstone of the 4th Amendment. This study examines differences in expectation of privacy and beliefs about government surveillance

in college students. By incorporating aspects of multiple cultural theories we argue that the use of social networking sites on the Internet influences the expectation of privacy. Implications of the findings and directions for future work are discussed.

Panel 2 Criminal Justice Education

1112

Chair/Discussant: Chip Burns, Texas Christian University

Observing Ourselves: Teaching Ethics to Criminal Justice Students

James David Ballard, California State University Northridge

Kathleen Bailey, Grand Valley State University

Ethics instruction usually focuses on case studies of others behaviors. This paper focuses on the student's sense of 'self' in the acquisition of ethical skills, an inward focus rather than external. The theory and practice behind this approach is that good ethical behavior and ethics awareness starts with the individual. Self awareness of ethical behavior and direct experiential engagement with the dilemmas that challenge internalized belief systems provide an internal set of safeguards, a way more engaging than looking at others' behavior. This ethics curriculum is designed to teach ethics to future criminal justice professionals from the inside out. It represents a departure from traditional ethics instruction and is grounded in theory and practice. (Abstract edited by Program Chair)

The Importance of Criminal Justice Advisory Boards

Paul R. Bowdre, Western Nebraska Community College

External advisory boards are a key method for criminal justice programs to develop mutually beneficial partnerships with the criminal justice community, civic groups, and other organizations in the community. Advisory boards are also an excellent means for academic programs to provide their commitment to civic engagement while utilizing the board members as outstanding resources for program development. This paper describes the three Criminal Justice Advisory Boards and the regional Corrections Advisory Board at Western Nebraska Community College (WNCC). Highlights of the paper include a discussion of the development of the advisory board process, the mutually beneficial relationships established, and benefits experienced by the college in the development of its criminal justice program.

9:45am-10:00am

Break

11th floor

10:00am-11:30am

Panel Sessions

Panel 3 Politics, Policy, and Culture

1104

Chair/Discussant: Quint Thurman, Texas State University

**The Implications of Federalism on Prosecutorial Decisions-Dual Sovereignty,
Federalization of Criminal Law and the *Petite* Policy**

Thomas White, University of Texas-Pan American

Where overlapping criminal jurisdiction exists, both state and federal prosecutors may prosecute the same criminal episode without violating double jeopardy under the dual

sovereignty doctrine. The Department of Justice (DOJ) has established procedures and factors for determining (1) who should have primacy in prosecuting an office and (2) limiting when subsequent federal prosecutions may be brought under an internal DOJ procedure called the *Petite* Policy. The paper will explore the increasing federalization of criminal law, its impact on constitutional federalism and dual sovereignty, and the DOJ's use of the *Petite* Policy in initiating prosecutions and prosecuting subsequent to state prosecutions.

The Fire of Thoreau: Symbolic Politics and 3rd Party Political Platforms

Nancy E. Marion, University of Akron

Willard M. Oliver, Sam Houston State University

This study draws upon the theory of symbolic politics, which posits that political acts are viewed as symbols conveying a political meaning that are an end unto themselves, in order to examine the elements of criminal justice policy in third party political platforms. It is hypothesized that third parties use their party platforms to make symbolic, rather than substantive, statements. Data collected from a variety of sources related to third political parties including the digital archives of the *American Presidency Project* and the *American Reference Library* were used to assess third political party platforms from 1868 to 2004. A content analysis of these third party platforms, as they relate to crime and justice issues, will be used to test the hypothesis.

Jim Crow, Racial Profiling, and Cultural Continuity

M. George Eichenberg, Tarleton State University

This paper discusses the uses of racial segregation laws in Texas between 1868 and 1968 to maintain an outdated social order left over from the slave system and the after effects of these laws during the late 20th and early 21st centuries. The essential argument of this paper is that racial segregation laws conditioned African-Americans to accept limitations on their rights while conditioning agents of social control to place such limitations on the rights of African-Americans; in effect, racial profiling has replaced Jim Crow as a mechanism for perpetuating an outdated social order just as Jim Crow laws replaced slavery.

Panel 4 Surveillance, Privacy and Law

1112

Chair/Discussant: Mark Pogrebin, University of Colorado, Denver

CCTV and Privacy Issues

Janice Joseph, Richard Stockton College of New Jersey

The use of closed-circuit television (CCTV) as a tool to fight crime and terrorism has become more prevalent in the United States. However, civil liberties advocates have raised privacy concerns about its use. This paper examines the various uses of video surveillance and other visual technology by public and private entities to prevent crime, and the associated legal and constitutional implications.

Big Brother is Looking – A Microanalysis of the Patriot Act and its Invasion of Privacy on American Citizens and Others

Tracy Andrus, Wiley College

This study examines the constitutionality of the Patriot Act and its potential threat to the rights guaranteed in the fourth Amendment of the Constitution. Since the infamous 911 attacks on American Soil privacy rights of individuals in America and abroad have continued to erode. This study further investigates the guarantees of the fourth amendment which states that “The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures shall not be violated, and no warrant shall issue, but upon probable cause...”.

11:45am-1:15pm Luncheon **Ballroom**

Announcements
ACJS Greeting

Keynote Speaker Steven Olson, FBI

Security at the 2008 Democratic National Convention

1:30pm-2:45pm Panel Sessions

Panel 5 The Drug War and Asset Forfeiture

1104

Chair/Discussant: Richard McWorter, Prairie View A&M University

**Differences and Similarities in Correlates of Drug Abuse Violations among
Juvenciles – Are We Losing the War on Drugs?**

Fay Williams, Prairie View A&M University

Solomon Osho, Prairie View A&M University

Drug abuse among juveniles has become a major concern because of the tremendous negative impact on the individual, the community and criminal justice system. This study seeks to determine the trends in drug abuse violation among juveniles. The Z-test revealed that there was no significant difference of the means at the 95% level. The findings also revealed that there was an increase in the rate of these violations in most states except Louisiana, West Virginia, and Mississippi. It can be concluded that some progress is being made in ‘the war on drugs’, however, continued effort is necessary in order to achieve consistent reduction.

Asset Forfeiture Gone Wild: An East Texas Case Study

George R. Franks, Jr., Stephen F. Austin State University

Karen S. Price, Stephen F. Austin State University

The paper is a case study of an incident in an East Texas County involving multiple issues related to asset forfeiture. The paper presents the case from the initial traffic stop, through the search, seizure and eventual disbursement of seized money. The researchers discuss the history of asset forfeiture as a tool for drug interdiction. The researchers make recommendations that should be initiated to force such programs to more closely follow the original intent of the Legislature.

Panel 6 A Panel of Diverse Delights

1112

Chair/Discussant: David Montague, University of Arkansas Little Rock

Death, discipline and disparity: A cross-national comparison of corporal punishment and the death penalty

Matthew Pate, State University of New York at Albany

Laurie A. Gould, University of Texas at Arlington

Corporal and capital punishment have established places in history. Once ubiquitous, punishments of the body have been supplanted by imprisonment, community sanctions, and fines. Similarly, capital punishment has been eschewed in favor of carceral punishment in most countries. While the majority of countries employ neither corporal nor capital punishment, there are many that still rely on one or the other. Employing a Foucauldian framework, we posit that countries with greater social inequities will be more likely to employ punishments of the body. Economic and social power measures are used to predict modalities of punishment.

The National Crime Victimization Survey: An interrupted series

Joan Crowley, New Mexico State University

The 2006 Criminal Victimization report disclosed that funding for the National Crime Victimization Survey has been whittled away to the point that the data can no longer be reliably compared with prior years. This paper documents the changes to both the survey and the reporting of the results that have left victimologists with a permanent, possibly irreparable gap in our understanding of changes in crime over time.

True Façade: A Content Analysis of the Moment of Truth Television Program

David J. Carrothers, Texas Woman's University

The purpose of this research is to use content analysis to explore 11 subjects' individual behaviors and behavioral changes with their respective audiences during the *Moment of Truth* television program in 2008. Since the television program's premise relies on pre-production polygraph examinations to determine the veracity of subjects' answers to questions, this research contextually examines factors related to subjects giving a false answer on stage or choosing to stop answering questions and take the money earned for "telling the truth." Subjects' social capital, body movements, motivations, and risks are analyzed exploring the dramaturgical presentation while answering questions before these audiences.

2:45pm

Break

3:00pm-4:15pm

Panel Sessions

Panel 7 Justice Technology Issues

1104

Chair/Discussant: Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University

Jailhouse Rock: An Examination of Current Legal issues Regarding the Use of Conducted Energy Devices on Pre-Trial Detainees

Vidisha Barua, Penn State Altoona

Robert M. Worley, Penn State Altoona

Tasers and stun guns are conducted energy devices used by the jail officers as non-lethal weapons to subdue inmates. This paper explores jail officer liabilities with regard to pre-trial detainees. Using the standards set by *Bell v. Wolfish* (1979), *Graham v. Connor* (1989), *Monell v. Department of Social Services of the City of New York* (1978), and *City of Canton v. Harris* (1989), we conclude that the growth of stun gun and taser use by jail officers must be accompanied by increased policy development, and training in order to limit civil liabilities.

Getting Away With Murder: The Fallacies of DNA Testing
Lawanda Jackson, Wiley College
Juan Cardenas, Wiley College

This study examines the accuracy of DNA Testing. In our present society, members of society are being sentenced to death and set free because of DNA testing. Is DNA Testing 100% accurate? What happens when the .001% fallacy rate result in killers going free and innocent people being sentenced to death or many years of incarceration and what, if anything can be done about it.

Panel 8 Prisoner Aggression and Reintegration

1112

Chair/Discussant: W. T. Jordan, Texas A&M University--Texarkana

Reintegration of the Elderly: What Happens After Prison?

Lorie Rubenser, Sul Ross State University
Gloria Priddy, Sul Ross State University

This paper will address the practical situation facing elderly inmates who are returned to the community after serving time in a correctional institution. Topics of discussion include the availability of aftercare programs, consequences of a felon label and age specific difficulties. Suggestions for improving services for this group will also be made.

**A qualitative analysis of inmates' perceptions on inmate aggression and other
Prison stereotypes**

Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University

This paper examines the perceptions of inmates focusing on issues of prison aggression and prison stereotypes through quantitative methods. The data were collected through a self report study from over four hundred inmates during their final hours of incarceration. The research offers insights as to what inmates believe about prison sexual assaults, why some offenders might be targeted more than others, and allows for the development of theoretical explanations on how to avoid being sexually assaulted in prison. The study's findings could be beneficial to young inmates that are entering prison and prison personnel working in prison.

4:30pm-5:30pm
1104

SWACJ Business Meeting

5:30pm-6:30pm

State Meetings

1104

Texas

1112

Colorado

Saturday, October 4, 2008

7:30am-8:30am
Ballroom

Continental Breakfast

8:00am-10:00am
11th Floor

Registration

8:30am-9:45am

Panel Sessions

Panel 9 Police Issues

1104

Chair/Discussant: Robert Worley, Penn State Altoona

An Empirical Examination of College Degree Requirements Effects on Police Recruiting Success

W. T. Jordan, Texas A&M University—Texarkana

One basis for resistance to implement college degree requirements for police officers has been the hypothesized negative effects of such a requirement on minority and female hiring. Claims that such fears are unfounded rely heavily on Carter and Sapp's (1991) assertion that law enforcement can effectively recruit minorities with a college education supported by education data of police and general populations. This paper uses a national survey of police recruiting success to test the theory that college requirements hurt police recruiting of minorities and women.

Police Stress and Marriage: The Importance of Marital Status in Predicting Occupational Stress of Law Enforcement Officers

Hector Esparza, Sam Houston State University

This study explores whether married and unmarried police officers report different levels of occupational stress and burnout. Using a sample of police officers working in a large metropolitan department, differences in the role of individual characteristics, work environment, and coping strategies on police stress are compared by marital status. The results indicate that for both groups, the use of destructive coping mechanisms is the strongest predictor of work-related stress. In turn, work-related stress has the highest impact on occupational burnout. However, the significance of all other measured predictors, such as negative exposures, perceived unfairness, and camaraderie, varies between marital statuses.

Panel 10 Retail and Campus Security

1112

Chair/Discussant: M. George Eichenberg, Tarleton State University

Technological Advances in Retail Security

Camille Gibson, Prairie View A&M University

The retail industry has been a leader in the development of technology to protect enterprise. This paper describes the most recent technological developments in the industry's efforts to curtail both the insider and the outsider threat. These measures include improvements in loss prevention training, the quantity and rigor of empirical research and the development and use of a variety of surveillance and alert technology and other merchandise monitoring devices.

Security on University Campuses: From Panic to (Gun) Panacea
Philip D. Holley, Southwestern Oklahoma State University
Dan Brown, Southwestern Oklahoma State University

Security has been a serious issue on university campuses following the Clery Act of 1990 and most recently with the shootings at Virginia Tech University (2007) and Northern Illinois University (2008). In light of the Heller decision--the Supreme Court decision of 2008 dealing with the 2nd Amendment--there has been renewed interest in permitting some individuals on campus to carry concealed weapons, heretofore prohibited. The presentation will seek to examine whether guns on campus represent a serious enhancement of security or a panacea.

9:45am-10:00am **Break**

10:00am-11:30am **Panel Sessions**

Panel 11 National Security and Terrorism

1104

Chair/Discussant: Camille Gibson, Prairie View A&M University

Geospatial Patterns of Terrorist Cells
Kim Rossmo, Texas State University

This paper presents the final results of a large research project that examined various geospatial measures of terrorist cells in urban Turkey. Terrorist organizations typically structure themselves on the basis of small groups or cells. Cell operatives, while needing to maintain secrecy, must still accomplish a number of functions, including surveillance, meetings, communication, and equipment/weapon acquisition and storage. Consequently, the geographic arrangement of the cell site locations is important for minimizing travel, exposure, and risk. An understanding of how terrorist cells arrange themselves in urban environments can support counter-terrorism efforts by facilitating data management and suspect prioritization.

Making the Connection: Russian Organized Crime as a Threat to National Security
Jennifer Carreon, Texas State University
Valerie Hollier, Texas State University

Making the Connection: Russian Organized Crime as a Threat to National Security
Russian Organized Crime (ROC) is of concern to law enforcement and intelligence agencies because of the nature and extent of illegal activities that may threaten national security. There is however, no measurement to define a threat to national security from an organized crime perspective. This paper explores the circumstances under which Russian Organized Crime may be said to constitute a threat to national security by drawing connections between known activities of its criminal enterprises and existing criteria for threats to national security.

Panel 12 Case Management Practices

1112

Chair/Discussant: Philip Rhoades, Texas A&M University—Corpus Christi

Using Innovative APS caseworker training methods to address increased scrutiny
David Montague, University of Arkansas at Little Rock

Cases in recent years dealing with government behavior to protect senior citizens have prompted increased scrutiny of adult protective service (APS) agencies. This additional attention on such agencies has also stimulated many to address APS training to provide increased scrutiny of the public during ongoing cases. This study provides understanding of the online training in Arkansas to orient new and retrain current APS case workers; including supervisors and administrators. Caseworkers are provided both comprehensive and simple refresher curriculum (over 2000 PowerPoint slides) specifically geared to understand aging, abuse-neglect-exploitation, administrative responsibilities, and best practices for respectful and effective work.

**Organizational Readiness for Culture Change: The Case of Travis County CSCD
and their Evidence-based Practices Model**

Floyd Berry, Tarleton State University

Gerald Piechocki, Tarleton State University

This paper explores the challenges that Travis County (Austin) CSCD has experienced in implementing culture change in a large probation department in the direction of an evidence-based practices (EBP) model. The organizational revamping and process changes were preceded by an organizational assessment by The JFA Institute, the hosting of a special conference on EBP, dialogue and training of managers, probation officers, and staff, dialogue and support from the judiciary and prosecutor's office, and the visionary leadership of the director of the CSCD. Some changes include the creation and integration of a diagnostic unit with appropriate supervision strategies, and the assurance of program fidelity, among other things.

Sam Houston State University



COLLEGE OF
CRIMINAL JUSTICE

WWW.CJCENTER.ORG



Doctor of Philosophy

Master of Arts in Criminal Justice and Criminology
Master of Science in CJ Leadership and Management

Master of Science in Criminal Justice
Master of Science in Forensic Science
Master of Science in Security Studies

Bachelor of Arts in Criminal Justice
Bachelor of Arts in Victim Studies
Bachelor of Science in Criminal Justice



*Education, Research,
Leadership and
Diversity in the Pursuit
of Excellence.*



For more information, contact Doris Pratt at 936.294.3637 or icc_dcp@sbsu.edu.

A Member of The Texas State Univeristy System

GRADUATE STUDIES IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Texas State University - San Marcos



About the Program: The Master of Science in Criminal Justice (MSCJ) consists of a 36 credit-hour curriculum, which may be completed with a thesis or non-thesis option. The 20 full-time members of the faculty deliver courses primarily in the evenings to the more than 100 currently enrolled graduate students, approximately 25 of whom are on graduate student stipends as either teaching assistants or research assistants.

About the Department: Our growing department features Drs. Mark Stafford, Brian Withrow, and Georgen Guerrero as new additions to Texas State. Earlier this year the University recognized Dr. Kim Rossmo as the College of Applied Arts' first and only endowed research professor.

About the University: The University is situated on the San Marcos River and at the edge of the Texas Hill Country mid-way between Austin and San Antonio. University enrollment this year is 29,125 students.

Prospective students can find more information at: <http://www.cj.txstate.edu/> or they can contact the graduate advisor, Dr. Donna M. Vandiver at vandiver@txstate.edu or (512) 245-7907.

Panel Participants

Lori Anderson
Tarleton State University

Dr. Tracy Andrus, Director
Lee P. Brown Criminal Justice Institute
Wiley College
711 Wiley Ave.
Marshall, TX 75670
903-923-2420
tandrus@wileyc.edu

Kathleen Bailey, Ed.D.
Grand Valley State University
Department of Criminal Justice
616-331-7148
baileyk@gvsu.edu

James David Ballard, Ph.D.
California State University Northridge
Department of Sociology
818-677-2009
ballard@csun.edu

Dr. Vidisha Barua
Penn State Altoona
3000 Ivyside Park, Cypress Bldg.
Altoona, PA 16601
814-949-5346
vubl@psu.edu

Floyd Berry, Ph.D.
Tarleton State University
1901 S. Clear Creek Rd.
Killeen, TX 76549
254-519-5705
berry@tarleton.edu

Paul R. Bowdre
Western Nebraska Community College
1601 East 27th Street
Scottsbluff, NE 69361
308-635-6118
bowdrep@wncc.net

Dan Brown
Southwestern Oklahoma State University
Department of Social Sciences
100 Campus Drive
Weatherford, OK 73096
580-774-3151
dan.brown@swosu.edu

Chip Burns
Texas Christian University
Sociology and Criminal Justice
TCU Box 298710
Ft. Worth, TX 76129
817-257-6155
r.burns@tcu.edu

Juan Cardenas
Lee P. Brown Criminal Justice Institute
Wiley College
711 Wiley Ave.
Marshall, TX 75670
903-923-2420
tandrus@wileyc.edu

Jennifer Carreon
Texas State University
106 University Drive
Hines Academic Center
San Marcos, TX 78666
512-245-8979
jc1551@txstate.edu

David J. Carrothers
Texas Woman's University
1118 Preston Lane
Dublin, TX 76446
254-968-9767
carrothers@twu.edu

Joan Crowley
New Mexico State University
Department of Criminal Justice
MSC 3487
P.O. Box 30001
Las Cruces, NM 88003-8001
jcrowley@nmsu.edu

Katy Eichenberg
P.O. Box 1175
Stephenville, TX 76401
817-776-2632
katyeichenberg@yahoo.com

M. George Eichenberg, Ph.D.
Tarleton State University
T-0665 Tarleton Station
Stephenville, TX 76401
254-968-9031
eichenberg@tarleton.edu

Roger Enriquez
University of Texas at San Antonio
501 W. Durango
San Antonio, TX 78207
210-458-2696
roger.enriquez@utsa.edu

Hector Esparza
Sam Houston State University
780 Hwy 190 E
Huntsville, TX 77340
832-368-9344
hesparza77@gmail.com

George R. Franks, Jr.
Instructor in CJ & PA
Stephen F. Austin State University
P.O. Box 13064-SFA Station
Nacogdoches, TX 75962
936-468-2061
franksgr@sfasu.edu

Camille Gibson
Prairie View A&M University
P.O. Box 519
MS 2600
Prairie View, TX 77446
936-261-5228
cbgibson@pvamu.edu

Laurie A. Gould, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor
University of Texas at Arlington
Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice
Box 19595
University Hall, Rm. 306
Arlington, TX 76019-0595
817-272-1325
lgould@uta.edu

Georgen Guerrero, Ph.D.
Texas State University
Hines Academic Center
200 Academy Drive
San Marcos, TX 78666
512-245-3524
gg27@txstate.edu

Pati Hendrickson
Tarleton State University

Philip D. Holley
Southwestern Oklahoma State University
Department of Social Sciences
100 Campus Drive
Weatherford, OK 73096
580-774-3157
philip.holley@swosu.edu

Valerie Hollier
Texas State University
106 University Drive
Hines Academic Center
San Marcos, TX 78666
512-245-8979
vh1034@txstate.edu

Lawanda Jackson
Lee P. Brown Criminal Justice Institute
Wiley College
711 Wiley Ave.
Marshall, TX 75670
903-923-2420
tandrus@wileyc.edu

W. T. Jordan
Texas A&M University—Texarkana
College of Health and Behavioral Sciences
P.O. Box 5518
2600 N. Robison Rd.
Texarkana, TX 75505-5518
903-223-3168
tom.jordan@tamut.edu

Janice Joseph, Ph.D.
Richard Stockton College of New Jersey
Criminal Justice Program
P.O. Box 195
Pomona, NJ 08240
609-652-4312
Janice.Joseph@stockton.edu

Nancy E. Marion
University of Akron

Dr. Mark R. McCoy
University of Central Oklahoma
Forensic Science Institute
100 N. University
Edmond, OK 73034
405-974-5617
mmccoy@ucok.edu

Richard McWhorter
Prairie View A&M University
10711 Misty Summit Drive
Houston, TX 77086
713-922-4420
dkmw@class.zzn.com

David Montague
University of Arkansas at Little Rock
2801 S. University Ave.
RH-539
Little Rock, AR 72204
501-569-3195
drmontague@ualr.edu

Dr. Willard M. Oliver
Sam Houston State University
College of Criminal Justice
P.O. Box 2296
Huntsville, TX 77341
936-294-4173
woliver@shsu.edu

Solomon Osho
Prairie View A&M University

Matthew Pate, M.S.
State University of New York at Albany
School of Criminal Justice
135 Western Avenue
Albany, NY 12222
870-489-0425
sp323242@albany.edu

Gerald Piechocki, M.F.S.
Tarleton State University
1901 S. Clear Creek Rd.
Killeen, TX 76549
254-519-5436
Piechocki@tarleton.edu

Mark Pogrebin
University of Colorado-Denver
748 Ridge Road
Golden, CO 80403
303-215-1941
mark.pogrebin@cudenver.edu

Karren S. Price
Associate Professor of CJ
Stephen F. Austin State University
P.O. Box 13064-SFA Station
Nacogdoches, TX 75962
936-468-2685
Priceks@sfasu.edu

Gloria Priddy
Sul Ross State University
gpriddy2@suddenlink.net

Philip W. Rhoades
Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi
Social Science Research Center
6300 Ocean Drive, No. 5826
Corpus Christi, TX 78412
361-825-2637
Philip.Rhoades@tamucc.edu

Dr. Robert M. Worley
Penn State Altoona
3000 Ivyside Park, Cypress Bldg.
Altoona, PA 16601
814-949-5781
rmw24@psu.edu

D. Kim Rossmo
Texas State University
Department of Criminal Justice
601 University Drive
San Marcos, TX 78666
512-245-2006
kr13@txstate.edu

Lorie Rubenser
Sul Ross State University
Criminal Justice
SRSU Box C-12
Alpine, TX 79832
rubenser@sulross.edu

Quint Thomas
Texas State University
Department of Criminal Justice
601 University Dr.
San Marcos, TX 78666
512-245-2174
QT10@txstate.edu

Thomas White
University of Texas—Pan American
Department of Criminal Justice, Rm. 321
Edinburg, TX 78541-2999
956-318-5298
twhite1@utpa.edu

Fay Williams
Prairie View A&M University
8415 Spanish Oak Drive
Houston, TX 77066
936-419-3243
faywilliams@gmail.com

SOUTHWESTERN ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE
ARKANSAS-ARIZONA-COLORADO-NEW MEXICO-OKLAHOMA-TEXAS

PRESIDENT

Philip W. Rhoades
Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi
6300 Ocean Dr. #5826
Corpus Christi, TX 78412
philip.rhoades@tamucc.edu

FIRST VICE PRESIDENT

Tracy Andrus
Wiley College
711 Wiley Ave.
Marshall, TX 75670
tandrus@wileyc.edu
903-923-2420

SECOND VICE PRESIDENT

David Montague
University of Arkansas-Little Rock
Criminal Justice
Ross Hall 539
2801 S. University Ave
Little Rock, AR 72204-1099
drmoutague@ualr.edu
501-683-7509

SECRETARY

Lorie Rubenser
Sul Ross State University
SRSU Box C-12
Alpine, TX 79832
432-837-8166
rubenser@sulross.edu

TREASURER

Robert M. Worley, PhD
Assistant Professor of Criminal Justice
Penn State Altoona
3000 Ivyside Park
Altoona, PA 16601-3760
814-949-5781
rmw24@psu.edu

WEBMASTER

William (Bill) Stone
Criminal Justice
Texas State University
San Marcos, TX 78666
ws03@swt.edu
512-245-3343

REGIONAL TRUSTEE

Chip Burns
Sociology and Criminal Justice
TCU Box 298710
Texas Christian University
Fort Worth, TX 76129
r.burns@tcu.edu
817-257-6155

JOURNAL EDITOR

Willard M. Oliver
College of Criminal Justice
Sam Houston State University
PO Box 2296
Huntsville, TX 77341
woliver@shsu.edu
936-294-4173

SWACJ Past Presidents

2007-2008	Philip W. Rhoades
2006-2007	William M. Oliver
2005-2006	Ronald "Chip" Burns
2004-2005	Camille B. Gibson
2003-2004	Mary Elizabeth "Beth" Pelz
2002-2003	Verna J. Henson
2001-2002	Allan "Al" L. Patenaude
2000-2001	W. Wesley Johnson
1999-2000	William "Bill" E. Stone
1998-1999	James W. Golden
1997-1998	Janet K. Wilson
1996-1997	Jocelyn "Joy" M. Pollock
1995-1996	Tom Hawley
1994-1995	Jeffrey "Jeff" T. Walker
1993-1994	Barbara Hart
1992-1993	Joan "Jody" E. Crowley
1991-1992	Sam S. Souryal
1990-1991	Bud Canutsen
1989-1990	Mary L. Parker
1988-1989	Philip W. Rhoades
1987-1988	Pauline Loveless
1986-1987	John R. Hudgens
1985-1986	Robert J. Grant
1984-1985	Bob Walsh
1983-1984	Charles D. Chastain
1982-1983	Edward "Ed" A. Farris
1981-1982	n/a
1980-1981	Jim Alexander
1979-1980	n/a
1978-1979	Larry Hoover

2008 SWACJ Program Addendum

Corrections:

Panel 9 Police Issues is incorrectly listed in the Schedule of Events. It is to be in room 1104. It is correctly listed in Program with Abstracts.

Please, correct the e-mail address for Dr. Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University in the Participants List to gg27@txstate.edu.

Also, in the Participants List please note that Quint's Name is Thurman. Apparently, checking lists twice only works for Santa Claus.

Program Additions:

The following paper has been added to **Panel 1 Networks and Privacy**

Guilty by Popular Opinion
Kandice Stoker, Wiley College
Keyona White, Wiley College

In an age where instant gratification seems to be the order of the day, it is highly conceivable that media excretes extreme control over the thoughts, actions, and feelings of people. Since people are easily influenced by what they see, and hear. It is hypothetically of the researchers in the case of trail by jury media coverage can adversely affect the outcome when the expected outcome isn't consistent with public opinion. Hence the question, Can the accused really be afforded a fair and just trail when the media is allowed full access?

The Luncheon on Friday, October 3rd will feature two speakers.

Steven Olson from the FBI will be joined by **Captain Steven Carter of the Denver PD Operations Planning Unit.**

As always, operational necessities may call one of the speakers away or prevent participation. We hope that this will not be the case.